EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

LISA KOSS,

Plaintiff.

٧.

PALMER WATER DEPARTMENT, PALMER FIRE DISTRICT NO. 1 AND PALMER WATER DISTRICT NO. 1,¹ WILLIAM COLE and CHARLES M. CALLAHAN, III,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:12-cv-30170-MAP

PRIVILEGE LOG

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(A) and Local Rules of The United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts 34.1(e), Defendants, Palmer Water Department, Palmer Fire District No. 1 and Palmer Water District No. 1, William Cole and Charles M. Callahan, III, hereby serve upon counsel for Plaintiff, Lisa Koss, the underlying privilege log of documents, communications, and/or tangible things that are responsive to written discovery requests that Defendant's object to and have been withheld from production on the basis that such information is privileged or subject to protection as material prepared in anticipation of litigation.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(A) states as follows:

Claiming Privilege or Protecting Trial-Preparation Materials.

¹There is only one employer, not two or three employers, of the plaintiff and the entities listed in the above-referenced caption are not the correct legal names.

- (A) *Information Withheld.* When a party withholds information otherwise discoverable by claiming that the information is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material, the party must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the documents, communications, or tangible things not produced or disclosed—and do so in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable other parties to assess the claim.

Local Rules of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts 34.1(e) states as follows:

When a claim of privilege is asserted in objection to any document request, or any subpart thereof, and any document is not provided on the basis of that assertion, the attorney asserting the privilege shall identify in the objection the nature of the privilege that is being claimed with respect to each such document. If the privilege is being asserted in connection with a claim or defense governed by state law, the attorney asserting the privilege shall indicate the particular privilege rule that is being invoked.

The information as required by the aforementioned rules is identified as follows:

Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege
February 6, 2012	Daniel Morton- Bentley, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation.	Attorney work product
			Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	and/or attorney- client privilege
February 6, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Daniel Morton- Benţley, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege

Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege
February	Daniel Morton-	Henry Rigali,	What: E-mail made in	Attorney
7, 2012	Bentley, Esq.	Esq.	anticipation of litigation.	work
				product
			Subject: Legal strategy,	and/or
			advice, and	attorney-
			recommendation	client
			concerning Ms. Koss's	privilege
			allegations	
March 1,	Daniel Morton-	Henry Rigali,	What: E-mail made in	Attorney
2012	Bentley, Esq.	Esq.	anticipation of litigation.	work
				product
			Subject: Legal strategy,	and/or
			advice, and	attorney-
			recommendation	client
			concerning Ms. Koss's	privilege
Manah	Hanne Dinali	Destal	allegations	
March 1,	Henry Rigali,	Daniel	What: E-mail made in	Attorney
2012	Esq.	Morton-	anticipation of litigation.	work
		Bentley, Esq.	Subjects Legal strategy	product
			Subject: Legal strategy,	and/or
			advice, and recommendation	attorney- client
			concerning Ms. Koss's	privilege
			allegations	privilege
March 30,	Daniel Morton-	Henry Rigali,	What: E-mail made in	Attorney
2012	Bentley, Esq.	Esq.	anticipation of litigation.	work
20.2	Donney, Loq.	L34.	antioipation of inigation.	product
			Subject: Legal strategy,	and/or
			advice, and	attorney-
			recommendation	client
			concerning Ms. Koss's	privilege
			allegations	
April 5,	Daniel Morton-	Henry Rigali,	What: E-mail made in	Attorney
2012	Bentley, Esq.	Esq.	anticipation of litigation.	work
				product
			Subject: Legal strategy,	and/or
			advice, and	attorney-
			recommendation	client
1			concerning Ms. Koss's	privilege
			allegations	

Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege
April 11, 2012	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation.	Attorney work product
	, 204.		Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	and/or attorney- client privilege
April 11, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq., Charles Callahan, James Ammann	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 11, 2012	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq., Charles Callahan, James Ammann	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 11, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 12, 2012	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege

Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege
April 12, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 17, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq., Charles Callahan, James Ammann, Joe Mastalerz	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 19, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	What: E-mail with Memorandum of Law made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendationconcerning Ms. Koss's allegations.	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 24, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq., Charles Callahan, James Ammann, Joe Mastalerz	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation related to communication with Mike Shea regarding scheduling interview with Ms. Koss	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege

Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege
April 24, 2012	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq., Charles Callahan, James Ammann, Joe Mastalerz	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation related to communication with Mike Shea regarding scheduling interview with Ms. Koss	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 24, 2012	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 24, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
April 25, 2012	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
May 2, 2012	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege

Date	Author(s)	Recipient(s)	Description	Privilege
May 2, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation.	Attorney work product
		,	Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation related to rescheduled meeting with Ms. Koss and Mike Shea	and/or attorney- client privilege
May 10, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina L. Schrengohst, Esq., Charles Callahan, James Ammann,	What: E-mail with Memorandum of Law made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendationconcerning Ms. Koss's allegations.	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
May 14, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
May 15, 2012	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	Henry Rigali, Esq.	What: E-mail made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendation concerning Ms. Koss's allegations	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege
June 19, 2012	Henry Rigali, Esq.	Karina Schrengohst, Esq.	What: E-mail with Memorandum of Law made in anticipation of litigation. Subject: Legal strategy, advice, and recommendationconcerning Ms. Koss's allegations.	Attorney work product and/or attorney- client privilege

Respectfully Submitted,

Kariňa L. Schrengohst, Esq.

BBO #681614

Counsel for Defendants

Royal LLP

270 Pleasant Street

Northampton, Massachusetts 01060 Tel. (413) 586-2288/Fax (413) 586-2281

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Dated: August 15, 2013

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing *Privilege Log* was served upon the attorney of record for each other party, Michael O. Shea, Esq., Law Office of Michael O. Shea, P.C., 3 Crane Park Drive, Suite 7, Wilbraham, MA 01095, via e-mail and by first-class, U.S. mail, postage prepaid, on August 15, 2013.

Karina L. Schrengohst, Esq.